[Mr. T. C. Kesava Pillai.]

I move this motion just to bring to the notice of Government that a system of treatment is in existence in South Travancore called the Chintamani system. Many of the leading vaidyans of South Travancore have applied for grants. The Ayurveda Director has given endorsements to the effect that Chintamani is not recognised as one among the treatments by the Department. The leading vaidyans of South Travancore, namely Pillankuzhi vaidyan and other vaidyans are experts in such treatment and this is a treatment which is prevalent in the Tinnevelly District and in the old Padmanabhapuram Division. The majority of the people are benefited by this treatment. So, I want to bring to the notice of Government that recognition should be given to the Chintamani system of treatment.

MR. P. N. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government*): The system of Chintamani treatment is prevalent only in South Travancore, and it is also a recognised system of medical treatment. There was an application for grant-in-aid from one Ramaswami Pillai and as he wanted it within the Municipal jurisdiction of Nagercoil it has been referred to the Municipality. When a reply is received, the Government will consider the application and dispose of the matter.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Vilavankod*): I withdraw my motion.

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

PRESIDENT: The question is that a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,93,843 be granted under Demand XVI -Chemical Examiner, Ayurveda Department including grants to Institutions and Honoraria to Vaidyans, Sanitary Department and Plague Prevention.

The grant was made.

DEMAND XVII—STATIONERY & PRINTING.

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, I beg to move for a grant not exceeding Rs. 3,04,465 under Demand XVII —Stationery and Printing.

Motion 346.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): സ്റ്റേഷനറി വകയ്ക്ക അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതായ ൨,൦൪,൪൬൫ രൂപായിൽ നിന്ന ൧൦൦ രൂപാ കുറയ്ക്കണമെന്നുള്ളതാണ് എന്റെ ഉപക്ഷേപം. ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം അവതരിപ്പിയ്ക്കുന്നതിന്റെ ഉദ്ദേശം സ്റ്റേഷനറി ഇനത്തിൽ ആവശ്യമില്ലാത്തതായി ധാരാളം വേസ്റ്റ് ഉണ്ടാകുന്നവയെ ഗവണ്മെൻറിന്റെ ശ്രദ്ധയിൽ കൊണ്ടുവരണമെന്നുള്ളതാണ്. ൧൯൨൬-ൽ അന്നത്തെ ഫൈനാൻഷ്യൽ സിക്രട്ടറിയുടെ റപ്പോർട്ടിൽ ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ ധാരാളം വേസ്റ്റായിട്ട് ചിലവാകുന്നുണ്ടെന്നുള്ളതു ഗവണ്മെൻറ് മനസ്സിലാക്കീട്ടുള്ളതായി പറഞ്ഞു കാണുന്നു. എത്രത്തോളം രൂപാ ആണ്ടിൽ ഈ ഇനത്തിൽ നഷ്ടപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നു ഗവണ്മെൻറിനു മനസ്സിലായിട്ടുമുണ്ട്. അ

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

കൊല്ലത്തിലെ കൌൺസിൽ നടപടിയിൽ നിന്നും തന്നെ ഇതിനു എ ന്തെങ്കിലും ഒരു പരിഹാരമുണ്ടാക്കുന്നതിനു ഗവണ്മെൻറുദ്ദേശിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെ ന്നും മനസ്സിലാകുന്നു. ക ഠ ഢ-ൽ ഢ, ഠ ന്യ,ൻന്യ ഠ രൂപാ സ്റ്റേഷനറി യിനത്തിൽ ചിലവായിട്ടുണ്ട്. അതുപോലെതന്നെ ക ഠ ന-ൽ ഢ, ർന്യ, ഠ ഠ ഠ രൂപാ ചിലവായികാണുന്നുണ്ട്. അടുത്തകൊല്ലത്തെ ബഡ്ജറിൽ രണ്ടുലക്ഷത്തോളം രൂപാ പേത്തുകാണുന്നു. വരുന്ന കൊ ല്ലത്തേയ്ക്കു സ്റ്റേഷനറിയുടെ ഇനത്തിൽ വിലയ്ക്കായിട്ടുമാത്രം ആണ്ടു തോറും ചിലവാകുന്നതുകയിൽ ഒരുഭാഗം വേസ്റ്റായിപോകുന്നുണ്ടെന്നുനമു ക്കു കാണുവാൻകഴിയും. ക ഠ ൻ ട-ൽ ഈ ഇനത്തിൽ കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള തുകയിൽ വേസ്റ്റാകാതിരിയ്ക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി ഗവണ്മെൻറിന്റെ ദൃഷ്ടി യിൽ നഷ്ടമുണ്ടെന്നു കാണിച്ചുതരികയും, അതിനെ ഇല്ലാതാക്കുവാൻ വേ ണ്ടതുഏതെങ്കിലും ചെയ്യുകൊള്ളാമെന്നുള്ള ഒരു പ്രസ്ഥാവന ഉണ്ടായതി നുശേഷം ഏകദേശം ൪ കൊല്ലം കഴിഞ്ഞിട്ടും അതിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു ഗവണ്മെൻറു യാതൊന്നും ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതായിട്ടുകാണുന്നില്ല. എന്നാൽഈ ആറുവർഷം വരേയും വേസ്റ്റ് ഉണ്ടായിക്കൊണ്ടിരിയ്ക്കുന്നതു് ഗവണ്മെൻറു മനസ്സിലാക്കിയിരുന്നിട്ടും അനുവദിച്ചു കൊടുത്തതായികാണുന്നതു് ഒട്ടും ശരിയല്ല. അതിനാൽ മേലാലെങ്കിലും ഈ മാതിരി വേസ്റ്റ് ഉണ്ടാകാതി രിയ്ക്കുന്നതിനു് ഗവണ്മെൻറു പ്രത്യേകം ശ്രമിയ്ക്കേണ്ടതാണു്.

MR. R. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kottarakara* cum *Kunnattur*): Will the member point out what the waste is?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ആവശ്യമില്ലാത്ത നിലയിൽ ചി ലവാക്കുന്നുണ്ടെന്നുള്ള സംഗതി ഗവണ്മെൻറുതന്നെ ധരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടു്. അ തിനാൽ ഞാൻ പറയേണ്ട ആവശ്യമില്ല.

MR. T. C. KESAVAIPILLAI (*Kalkulam* cum *Vilavankod*): Is the member aware that wastage was minimised by the introduction of economic slips on covers and etc. in 1098.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ആയതുകൊണ്ടു ഒരു വലിയ സം ഖ്യ ലാഭം വരുമെന്നു വിചാരിക്കുവാൻ തരമില്ല.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam* cum *Vilavankod*): Will the member give me the amount of wastage per year?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): അങ്ങിനെ ഒരു കണക്കെടുക്കാൻ സാധിക്കുമെന്നു തോന്നുന്നില്ല.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI (*Kalkulam* cum *Vilavankod*): It is only the member's presumption.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): പ്രിസംപ്ഷൻ മാത്രമല്ല. ഗ വണ്മെൻറിൽനിന്നും ഇങ്ങനെനഷ്ടമുണ്ടെന്നുള്ള സംഗതി സമ്മതിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള തുകൊണ്ടുമാത്രമാണു ഞാൻ പറയുന്നതു്.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Ag. Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, in the first place I must say that the Government have not stated that there has been a needless waste. What was stated, I believe, was that in regard to the expenditure of stationery a certain amount of waste was possible. In spite of every care that may be taken it is likely that there is some avoidable waste. The member said that there has been no attempt on the part of the department to reduce the cost of stationery subsequent to 1097. I may assure him that every effort has been taken by the department in the matter of strict economy in the issue of stationery. Several circulars have been issued with a view to see that, as far as possible, stationery is utilised with the greatest care. Standard forms have also been prescribed so that wherever possible only these printed forms are used in place of costlier stationery to prevent a waste.

Coming to the figures of expenditure I may say that in 1093, it was Rs. 2,00,000, in 1094 it was Rs. 3,03,000, in 1095 Rs. 3,01,000, in 1096, Rs. 3,67,000 and in 1097 Rs. 5,83,000. Of course as the member stated there was an explanation for the large increase in 1097 as the arrears due to the Stationery Contractor for the previous years had to be paid. You will find that in 1098 the amount was Rs. 5,83,000, in 1099 Rs. 1,80,000, in 1100 Rs. 1,79,000, in 1101 Rs. 2,04,000, in 1102 Rs. 2,09,000 and in 1103 it will be a little over 2,03,000 according to the revised estimate. It will be found from the above figures that there has been a strict economy since the year 1098, when this matter came up for discussion. But it cannot be denied that since 1101 the figures have been slightly going up and that is due to the fact that several new offices and sub-offices in several departments, have been created. Of course, whenever officers ask for a little more stationery it has to be given provided the increase is justified. All efforts are, however, being made by the department for economising stationery and we have a standard list of stationery to be given to each office. Each department has to send an annual Indent and it is carefully scrutinised and it is only the quantity which is prescribed that is sanctioned.

I may also say that supplementary indents are also coming up and from that it would be seen that the original Indents sanctioned have not been lavish. The matter has been receiving the attention of Government and will continue to receive the same attention.

MR. K. N. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Financial Secretary to Government*): On a point of personal explanation, Sir. The member observed that in framing the revised estimate of the current year the Financial Secretary who is responsible for presenting to this House has omitted to give certain details which would have been helpful for the member to find out whether there has been a decided increase under cost of stationery supplied to the Public Departments. If the member will see previous budgets he will find that the details which used to be given in the past budgets are given this year also. I am not simply continuing the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

routine. But, Sir, under the head 'cost of stationery to public departments' the total in the budget estimate is Rs. 2,00,000. But the total expenditure on that sub-head is Rs. 2,04,000. Sir, when a big item is taken away under cost of stationery to public departments, say Rs. 4,000, there was no difficulty with regard to finding out the variations. Why it has been hampering the House is something which I cannot understand and I am just giving an explanation.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*) : ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തെ പിൻവലിക്കുന്നതിന് അനുവാദം ചോദിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

Motions 347 to 350.

The following motions were not made :—

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI :

347. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 3,04,465 under Demand XVII by Rs. 10.

MR. T. C. KESAVA PILLAI :

348. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 3,04,465 under Demand XVII by Rs. 100.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI:

349. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 3,04,465 under Demand XVII by Rs. 100.

MR. G. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI :

350. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 3,04,465 under demand XVII by Rs. 100.

PRESIDENT : The question is that a sum of Rs. 3,04,465 be granted under Demand XVII—Stationery and Printing.

The grant was made.

DEMAND XVIII—CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT.

MR. R. VAIDYALINGAM PILLAI (*Registrar of Co-operative Societies*) : Sir, I rise to move for a grant not exceeding Rs. 49,050 under Demand XVIII—Co-operative Department.

Motions 351 to 356.

The following motions were not moved :—

MR. A. C. KURIAKOSE :

351. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,926 for permanent Inspectors and peons by Rs. 100.

MR. K. C. KARUNAKARAN :

352. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,750 for travelling allowance by Rs. 10.

MR. A. C. KURIAKOSE :

353. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,750 for travelling allowance by Rs. 100.

MR. N. R. KRISHNAN :

354. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 49,050 under Demand XVIII by Re. 1.

MR. A. G. MENON :

355. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 49,050 under Demand XVIII by Re. 1.

MR. K. C. KARUNAKARAN :

356. To reduce total allotment of Rs. 49,050 under Demand XVIII by Rs. 10.

Motion 357.

MR. V. CHELLAM PILLAI (*Tovala*) : I beg to move the following motion :

To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 49,050 under Demand XVIII by Rs. 50.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly